

# Armed Forces



Airman S.C. Robert J. Christianson, son of Mrs. Ralph Henning of 2510 W. 184th St., Redondo Beach, has arrived for duty at Holloman AFB, N.M.

Airman Christianson, an aircraft instrument repairman, recently completed technical training at Chanute AFB, Ill. His new unit is part of the Tactical Air Command which provides firepower and other air support to U.S. Army forces.

The airman is a graduate of Redondo Union High School.

**MISSILE UNIT** . . . Airman Harry E. Gnehm II, son of Mr. and Mrs. Harry E. Gnehm of 1923 Rusland Ave., Redondo Beach, has completed Air Force basic military training at Lackland AFB, Tex. He has been selected for technical training as a missile facilities specialist at the Air Training Command school at Sheppard AFB, Tex.

Army Spec 4 Andrew J. Blakely, son of Mr. and Mrs. Andrew J. Blakely, 1533 W. 22nd St., is participating in Exercise Golden Arrow, a 10-day field training exercise in Germany ending Jan. 28.

During the maneuvers, which are designed to test the maneuverability and general combat readiness of the 8th Infantry Division, Specialist Blakely is receiving training in weapons firing and small unit tactics.

The 20-year-old soldier attended Narbonne High School.

Radarman 3.C. Gordon L. Murphy, USN, son of Mr. and Mrs. George Murphy of 5018 Sepulveda Blvd., is serving aboard the destroyer USS Hammer, operating out of San Diego.

The ship is serving with the U.S. Pacific Fleet off the Southern California coast.

Army Sgt. 1/C James P. Dirreen, 33, whose wife, Edith, lives at 2204 Barbour Court, San Pedro, was assigned to the 3rd Artillery on Okinawa, Jan. 15.

Sergeant Dirreen, last stationed at Ft. MacArthur, is now a dire distribution crewman in Headquarters Battery of the 3rd Artillery's 8th Missile Battalion.

He entered the Army in April 1948. His father, Carl E. Dirreen, lives at 337 21st St.

## School Aide Participates In USC Meet

Dr. Albert Posner, assistant superintendent of schools for educational services, participated in a winter job orientation conference for student teachers at the University of Southern California.

The program, conducted by the university, was designed to give students who will be graduating from the USC School of Education an opportunity to participate in a mock job interview. The program is held annually to acquaint the students with hiring practices in the public schools.

Dr. Posner evaluated the participant's performance in a sample interview. Dr. Richard H. Berg, a professor of education at USC, was in charge of the program.

## Hahn Chairs State Group

Supervisor Kenneth Hahn has been named chairman of the civil disaster committee of the County Supervisors Association of California.

Hahn was appointed to the post of James G. Stearns of Modoc County, president of the state-wide organization of supervisors.

Other members of the committee include Edmund Linscheid of Contra Costa, C. M. Featherly of Orange, Neil Gallaway of Merced, Raymond Larison of Plumas, Donald Maxon of Butte, and Charles P. Salter of Kern.

## Fight BIRTH DEFECTS

THROUGH THE REACH OF

ATTORNEY & LAWYER



Fireman Apprentice Ronald A. Flynn, USN, participated in flood relief operations off the coast of Ensenada, Calif., aboard the anti-submarine aircraft carrier USS Bennington. Helicopter squadrons from the Bennington flew 182 missions over the flood ravaged area. They delivered 161,000 tons of supplies, evacuated 167 people and accumulated 213 hours of flight time.

Seaman Recruit Timothy K. Nevel, USN, son of Mr. and Mrs. Paul S. Nevel of 2371 W. 235th Place, began nine weeks of basic training Dec. 31, at the Recruit Training Command, Naval Training Center, San Diego.

Fireman John D. Erickson, USN, son of Mr. and Mrs. Gust V. Erickson of 1510 W. 213th St., is serving aboard the seaplane tender USS Salisbury Sound, operating out of Whidbey Island, Wash.

His ship recently returned to Whidbey Island after completing a tour of duty with the U.S. Seventh Fleet in the Far East.

The ship is described as follows: "All that certain real property situated in the City of Torrance, County of Los Angeles, State of California, described as follows:

The Northern 165 feet of that portion of Lot 23, McLean Ranch, in the S. 1st McLean Ranch, bounded on the North by 15th Street; on the West by Prairie Avenue; and on the East by the westerly line of 15th Street.

**SECTION 4.**

That said property described in Section 4 is the same as described in the Land Use Map of the City of Torrance, hereby amended to show such reclassification and rezoning, and the portion of said Land Use Map of the City of Torrance, herein referred to as the original Land Use Map, is hereby unattached and thereafter attached in its entirety to the westerly portion of the original Land Use Map covering the property herein reclassified.

**SECTION 5.**

That said property is to be reclassified as follows: "All

that certain real property situated in the City of Torrance, County of Los Angeles, State of California, described as follows:

The Northern 165 feet of that portion of Lot 23, McLean Ranch, bounded on the North by 15th Street; on the West by Prairie Avenue; and on the East by the westerly line of 15th Street.

**SECTION 6.**

That said property described in Section 6 is the same as described in the Land Use Map of the City of Torrance, hereby amended to show such reclassification and rezoning, and the portion of said Land Use Map of the City of Torrance, herein referred to as the original Land Use Map, is hereby unattached and thereafter attached in its entirety to the westerly portion of the original Land Use Map covering the property herein reclassified.

**SECTION 7.**

That all Ordinances of the City of Torrance, County of Los Angeles, to the extent of such inconsistency and no further, are hereby repealed.

**SECTION 8.**

The Ordinance shall take effect thirty days after the date of its adoption and prior to the expiration of fifteen days from the publication of the same in the newspaper at least once in the Torrance Press-Herald, a semi-weekly newspaper of general circulation, published and circulated in the City of Torrance.

Introduced and approved this 12th day of January, 1965.

Adopted and passed this 15th day of January, 1965.

Albert Isen  
Mayor of the City of Torrance

ATTEST:

John Vernon W. Coll  
City Clerk of the City of Torrance

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF TORRANCE

CITY OF TORRANCE

L. VERNON W. COLL, City Clerk of the City of Torrance, California, do hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council held on the 12th day of January, 1965, and that the same was signed by the Mayor of and Council held on the 15th day of January, 1965, by the following call vote:

AYES: COUNCILMAN Ernest Larson, Olana, Scherzer, and Isen.

NOS: COUNCILMAN Vice President Vernon W. Coll

(See) City Clerk of the

State of California for the County of Los Angeles.

In the Name of the Estate of

EDWARD L. RAPHAEL AND CONSTANCE M. BEVERLY MERMIGES, Transferees, whose business address is 1710 Sartori Avenue, Torrance, County of Los Angeles, State of California.

The property to be transferred is located at 1710 Sartori Avenue, Torrance, County of Los Angeles, State of California.

The property is described in general as: An acre in two fixtures, equipment and good will of a Retail Mens Wear business known as Tino's Mens Store and located 1710 Sartori Avenue, Torrance, County of Los Angeles, State of California.

The bulk transfer will be consummated on or after the 15th day of January, 1965, in trust fixtures, equipment and good will of a Retail Mens Wear business known as Tino's Mens Store and located 1710 Sartori Avenue, Torrance, County of Los Angeles, State of California.

So far as known to the Transferees, all business names and addresses used by Transferees for the three years past if different from the above, are: Tino's 1710 Sartori Avenue, Torrance, California.

Dated Jan. 26, 1965.

Signed by:  
John Vernon W. Coll  
City Clerk

Transferee  
Orwin E. Stosier  
Transferee

Bank of America N.T. & S.A.  
1255 Sartori Avenue  
Torrance, California  
S-Jan. 31, 1965

PM-3061  
7382

NOTICE TO CREDITORS

No. 49574

Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of Los Angeles.

In the Name of the Estate of

DANIEL W. CLARK, Deceased.

Notice is hereby given to creditors having claims against the said deceased, to file the same in the office of the clerk of the court or to present them to the undersigned at the office of Mowbray, Clark & Associates, 2201 Torrance Blvd., in the City of Torrance, Calif., which latter office is the place of business of the undersigned in the name of Daniel W. Clark, Esq.

Such claims with the necessary vouchers must be filed or presented as aforesaid within six months after the first publication of this notice.

Dated Jan. 27, 1965.

BETTY VIRGINIA RODGERS  
Executor of the will of said

Mowbray, Clark & Associates

Attorneys-at-Law  
2211 Torrance Blvd.  
Calif.

S-Jan. 31, Feb. 7-21, 1965.

Forfeiture of the sum of

Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000)

and interest thereon.

Attest:  
Dorothy K. Samsel,  
Notary Public  
My Commission Expires

April 8, 1965

(Seal)

Telephone DA 5-6060 or TE 4-4581

JANUARY 31, 1965

WE WILL HELP YOU WRITE YOUR CLASSIFIED AD FOR BEST RESULTS

## Public Notice

PH-3000  
ORDINANCE NO. 1571  
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE AMENDING APPENDIX I OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE, WHICH IS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORRANCE, ON NOVEMBER 10, 1964, RECLASSIFYING THAT CERTAIN PROPERTY DEPICTED IN PLANNING COMMISSION CASE NO. 44-36.

WHEREAS, the City Council deems that it is to the public interest to make changes in laws relating to classifications made in exists real property in the City of Torrance as hereinafter described in Section 3, as applied in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36.

WHEREAS, due and legal publication of notice has been given to the owners of the property in the vicinity thereof and public hearings have been duly and regularly held, all as required by Appendix I of the Code of the City of Torrance, 1964.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 1.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 2.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 3.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 4.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 5.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 6.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 7.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 8.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and that nothing herein contained shall be construed as an infringement upon the rights of the property owner.

SECTION 9.

That the City Council of the City of Torrance does hereby find and determine that the Change of Zone applied for in Planning Commission Case No. 44-36, particularly described in Section 3, as applied in the application for reclassification and rezoning of the property in the vicinity thereof, will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or to the property in the vicinity thereof, and that zoning changes as herein provided are intended to protect the growth of the City of Torrance, and to provide for the welfare of the citizens of the City of Torrance; and